

SoTL PRIZES

Prizes are awarded in FIVE categories.

CRITERIA

1. RESEARCH TRACK

- **Prize:** Contribution towards attending an international T&L conference.
- The SoTL Abstract Review Panel identifies possible prize winners, based on abstract reviews.
- The SoTL Judging Panel makes use of the list of possible prize winners and attends the actual shortlisted presentations.
- The panel decides on the prize winner, based on the following criteria:

| ☹ ← ☺ → ☺ | | | | | | ☹ ← ☺ → ☺ | | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | | | | CLEAR GOALS Explains background, purpose, and identifies realistic and achievable objectives, and important question(s) in the field (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | ADEQUATE PREPARATION References existing scholarship in the field, with a theoretical framing and a literature review (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | APPROPRIATE METHODS Provides methodological framing and links to similar studies, modifying selected methodologies effectively to respond to changing circumstances (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | SIGNIFICANT RESULTS Original results add to the field and opens up areas for further research (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | REPLICABILITY Research can be reproduced and built on by others (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | REFLECTIVE CRITIQUE Provides a breadth of evidence to critically evaluate own work (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | GOOD ABSTRACT Submitted an enticing abstract that summarises the presentation accurately (Presentation) | | | | | |
| | | | | EFFECTIVE PRESENTATION Uses a suitable style with which the main argument is sustained (Presentation) | | | | | |
| | | | | CLEAR, CREATIVE INSIGHT (Engagement) | | | | | |
| | | | | QUALITY OF ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS (Engagement) | | | | | |
| /40 | | | | SCHOLARSHIP /24 | + PRESENTATION /8 | + ENGAGEMENT /8 | = TOTAL /40 | | |

2. INNOVATION TRACK

- **Prize:** Contribution towards attending a national T&L conference.
- The SoTL Abstract Review Panel identifies possible prize winners, based on abstract reviews.
- The SoTL Judging Panel makes use of the list of possible prize winners and attends the actual shortlisted presentations.
- The panel decides on the prize winner, based on the following criteria:

| ☹ ← ☺ → ☺ | | | | | | ☹ ← ☺ → ☺ | | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|--|-----------|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | | | | CLEAR GOALS Explains background, purpose, context and particular interest (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | ADEQUATE PREPARATION References existing scholarship in the field (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | APPROPRIATE METHODS Describes work and approaches used to achieve goals (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | SIGNIFICANT RESULTS Conclusion or take-home message is relevant to other contexts, opens up areas for further exploration and adds value to fellow-participants (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | REPLICABILITY Research can be reproduced and built on by others (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | REFLECTIVE CRITIQUE Provides a breadth of evidence to critically evaluate own work (Scholarship) | | | | | |
| | | | | GOOD ABSTRACT Submitted an enticing abstract that summarises the presentation accurately (Presentation) | | | | | |
| | | | | EFFECTIVE PRESENTATION Uses a suitable style with which the main argument is sustained (Presentation) | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------------|--|-------------------|--|-----------------|--|-------------|-----|
| | | | | | CLEAR, CREATIVE INSIGHT (Engagement) | | | | |
| | | | | | QUALITY OF ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS (Engagement) | | | | |
| /40 | | SCHOLARSHIP /24 | | + PRESENTATION /8 | | + ENGAGEMENT /8 | | = TOTAL /40 | /40 |

3. REFLECTION TRACK

- **Prize:** Contribution towards attending a T&L course, symposium or workshop.
- The SoTL Abstract Review Panel identifies possible prize winners, based on abstract reviews.
- The SoTL Judging Panel makes use of the list of possible prize winners and attends the actual shortlisted presentations.
- The panel decides on the prize winner, based on the following criteria:

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------------|---|--|---|-----------------|--|-------------|---|-----|---|
| ☹ ← ☺ → ☺ | | | | | | | | ☹ ← ☺ → ☺ | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | | | | CLEAR GOALS | Explains background, purpose, context and particular interest (Scholarship) | | | | | | |
| | | | | ADEQUATE PREPARATION | References existing scholarship in the field or reflects on personal experience (Scholarship) | | | | | | |
| | | | | APPROPRIATE METHODS | Describes how reflection was undertaken (Scholarship) | | | | | | |
| | | | | SIGNIFICANT RESULTS | Conclusion or take-home message is relevant to other contexts, opens up areas for further exploration and adds value to fellow-participants (Scholarship) | | | | | | |
| | | | | REPLICABILITY | Potential for research exists and similar reflective practice can be reproduced and built on by others (Scholarship) | | | | | | |
| | | | | REFLECTIVE CRITIQUE | Provides a breadth of evidence to critically evaluate own work (Scholarship) | | | | | | |
| | | | | GOOD ABSTRACT | Submitted an enticing abstract that summarises the presentation accurately (Presentation) | | | | | | |
| | | | | EFFECTIVE PRESENTATION | Uses a suitable style with which the main argument is sustained (Presentation) | | | | | | |
| | | | | CLEAR, CREATIVE INSIGHT (Engagement) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | QUALITY OF ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS (Engagement) | | | | | | | |
| /40 | | SCHOLARSHIP /24 | | + PRESENTATION /8 | | + ENGAGEMENT /8 | | = TOTAL /40 | | /40 | |

4. DELEGATES' CHOICE

- **Prize:** Contribution towards attending a national T&L conference.
- Conference delegates vote for their favourite presentation via a google form.

5. BEST PREDAC POSTER

- **Prize:** Contribution towards an academic, T&L related book.
- Conference delegates vote for their favourite electronic poster presentation by "liking" and leaving a comment in the Poster Channel on MS Stream.

CONDITIONS

1. Prizes can only be claimed in discussion and agreement with the SoTL conference organisers.
2. Conference attendance is subject to an accepted abstract at the identified T&L conference.
3. The monetary contribution/ prize is in support of participation and does not necessarily cover everything.
4. If there are more than one person listed on the certificate, the amount is not available to each person, it is only one prize and they have to discuss how they will use it and/or who will use it.
5. The book prize should also be discussed with the organisers. It should be an academic book about T&L, perhaps related to doing T&L research or something similar.